

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/975,719                               | 10/10/2001      | Frederick M. Ausubel | 00786/361003            | 1062             |
| 21559                                    | 7590 10/02/2003 |                      | EXAM                    | IŅĒR             |
| CLARK & ELBING LLP<br>101 FEDERAL STREET |                 |                      | CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA   |                  |
| BOSTON, M.                               |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 1637                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE 344W FD 10/02/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/975,719  | AUSUBEL ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Suryaprabha Chunduru  | 1637  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply  | ntion appears on the cover sheet with   | n the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communically the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   | ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recetion.  lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, by statute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed   | on <u>10 October 2001</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b  | )∐ This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 44-52</u> is/are pending in   | the application   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1, 44-52 are subject to restrict  | tion and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul> | the priority documents have been re<br>onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).<br>or a list of the certified copies not re  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languants)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | . ,   | -   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Papel   | -948) 5) Notice of Info   | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claim 1, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO. 252, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 44-51, drawn to a polypeptide encoding SEQ ID Nos. 92, 220, 252, and 272, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 52, drawn to a method for identifying a compound, which binds to a polypeptide, requiring SEQ ID Nos. 92, 220, 252, 272, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions In the instant case a nucleic acid of Group I can be operated independent of the polypeptide of Group II with different effects.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group II can be used in materially different processes such as enzyme reactions or protein truncation assays.

Art Unit: 1637

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions. In the instant case an isolated nucleic acid of Group I and the method of Group III have different modes of operation with different end results. For instance the method of Group III has a different mode of operation with different end result of identifying a compound.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Additionally, Groups II-III named above are subject to further restriction. If Applicants elect any one of these Groups, Applicants are required to elect a specific SEQ ID NO. for examination. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G. 68 Notice (November 19, 1996 and revised M.P.E.P.), as the examination of more than one sequence in the application would result in an undue search burden on the PTO. Further, this is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct

inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,719 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru September 25, 2003

> JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER